



COLLABORATIVE HEALTH RESEARCH PROJECTS

A CIHR-NSERC jointly funding program Letter of Intent Reviewer Scoring Form

Scoring System:

- A structured review using the follow evaluation criteria must be used when assessing each LOI:
 - 1) Summary of Research Proposal originality and impact (40%)

Information found in this LOI Attachment:

- 2) Interdisciplinary Collaboration (10%)
- 3) Timeline/Milestones/Feasibility (10%)
- 4) Knowledge Translation Plan/Appropriateness of KTU Organization (30%)
- 5) Training Plan (10%)
- Please score each criteria using the <u>CIHR rating scale (0.1 4.9 in intervals of 0.1)</u>. An excel spreadsheet will be provided to you which will convert your ratings into one final score to enter into ResearchNet.

Review Instructions:

- Please review all documents submitted as part of the complete LOI when scoring.
- Please provide a brief but detailed comment for each section.
- Please forward any eligibility concerns to CIHR, do not factor these into your ratings





Summary of Research Proposal – originality and impact (40%)

Assessment of the research project described in the Summary of Research Proposal. Focus on what you perceive to be the originality and impact of the proposal (max.1428 characters).

Comments:



E.



Interdisciplinary Collaboration (10%)
 Assessment of the quality of the collaboration between the interdisciplinary nature of the research and the following (max. 924 characters) : Natural Sciences and Engineering (NSE) Health Sectors Social Sciences and Humanities (if applicable) Comments:
Timeline/Milestones/Feasibility (10%)
Funding for CHRP projects can be for up to three years for defined projects with clear milestones. Assessment of the feasibility of the project within the timelines proposed (max. 924 characters). Comments:





Knowledge Translation Plan and Appropriateness of Partner (30%)

(Justification for non-Canadian partners will be assessed by program staff).

Consider the appropriateness of the Knowledge Translation Plan and the potential for uptake of the research results. Consider the capacity of the Knowledge/Technology User partner to apply the project results outside of the academic and research setting. It is appropriate to consider how much influence the partner has in terms of creating new products, policies or practices (max. 1428 characters).

Comments:

Training Plan (10%)

Assessment of the training plan in terms of collaborative and interdisciplinary research (max. 1008 characters).

Comments: